

# Generic properties of open billiards

Artur Lopes

Inst. Mat. - UFRGS

Porto Alegre - RS - Brazil

and

R. Daniel Mauldin<sup>1</sup>

Math. Dept. - University of North Texas

Denton - TX - USA

August 6, 2002

**Abstract** - The purpose of this paper is to show that for a dense  $G_\delta$  set of three smooth convex bodies with nowhere vanishing curvature (in the  $C^k$  topology,  $2 \leq k \leq \infty$ ), the open billiard obtained from these convex bodies determines a potential (the one that defines the natural escape measure of this billiard) which is non-lattice. This result generalizes one of the results obtained in a previous work of A. Lopes and R. Markarian [1].

---

<sup>1</sup>Research supported by NSF Grant DMS 9502952 and TARP Grant.

## 1. The open billiard

The open billiard was previously analyzed in [1]. We refer the reader to [1] for most of the results we will use in the present paper. Most of the theorems of a dynamical nature mentioned in this paper [1] are stated for the open billiard defined by three circles with the same radius, but as was mentioned in [1] (see end of section 1), it can be easily extended to general convex bodies satisfying Morita's condition [2]. However, the proof of the result stated in section 8 [1] about the non-lattice property of the natural potential cannot be directly adapted from [1] to the general case. The purpose of the present paper is to eliminate this gap.

We refer the reader to [5] for a general reference for billiards.

We assume that the open billiard is defined by three convex bounded domains  $O_1, O_2$  and  $O_3$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  each with a class  $C^k, 2 \leq k \leq \infty$ , (see [4] for definitions) boundary, and each with nonvanishing curvature everywhere. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the space of all such curves. The space  $\mathcal{F}$  carries a natural topology which we call the  $C^k$  topology under which it is a complete separable metric space (see [4]). We will assume that the open billiard is defined by three curves, implicitly given respectively by three  $C^k, 2 \leq k \leq \infty$ , expressions

$$f(x, y) = 0,$$

$$g(x, y) = 0$$

and

$$h(x, y) = 0,$$

that is  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of  $C^k$  functions of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

Let  $F$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  consisting such that for  $(f, g, h) \in F$ , the three curves  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$  implicitly defined by the above equations are smooth Jordan curves and define convex bodies. We also assume of all  $(O_1, O_2, O_3)$  which satisfy Morita's condition [2]: the convex hull of any two of these bodies do not intersect the third one. The set  $F$  is a  $G_\delta$  subset of  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ .

For each  $(O_1, O_2, O_3) \in F$ , we consider the associated map  $T_{(O_1, O_2, O_3)} = T$  restricted to the boundary values, i.e., position  $q$  and angle  $\phi$ , of the billiard as in [1].

The two-dimensional map  $T$  associated to the boundary points  $x = (q, \phi)$  is hyperbolic when restricted to the Cantor set  $\Pi$  of positions that do not

escape to infinity [1]. The dynamical system  $T$  will be therefore defined from  $\Pi$  to itself.

The natural measure for this system (also called the escape measure) is the equilibrium state (see [3] for definitions) for a potential that will be denoted by  $\psi$  [1]. This function  $\psi$  will be described later.

If one considers as in [2] the ceiling function as potential, then the equilibrium measure is not anymore the escape measure.

Therefore, the questions adressed in [1] are of different nature that the ones in [2].

A function  $\Theta$  defined on  $\Pi$  is called non-lattice if does not exist a function  $v$ , a constant  $\alpha$  and a function  $G$  taking only integers values, such that for all  $x \in \Pi$

$$v(T(x)) - v(x) + \alpha G(x) = \Theta(x).$$

Non-lattice functions defined on the non-wandering set of an hyperbolic dynamical system  $T$  determine nice statistical properties of the dynamical zeta function associated to the periodic orbits of  $T$  [3].

In [2], Morita shows that the ceiling potential is not lattice.

One of the results obtained in [1] is that for a dense set of values  $a > 2$ , the billiards of the form: three circles of radius one centered in the vertices of an equilateral triangle of side  $a$ , satisfy the following property: the associated natural potential  $\psi$  is non-lattice.

In this paper we prove

**Theorem 1:** For a dense  $G_\delta$  set of parameters  $(O_1, O_2, O_3) \in F$  in the  $C^k$  topology,  $2 \leq k \leq \infty$ , the open billiard defined by  $(O_1, O_2, O_3)$  is such that the natural potential  $\psi$  is non-lattice.

Note that the result of [1] do not follows from the above theorem, because the perturbations aloud here can leave the class of circular billiards.

## 2. Proof

Now we will prove the main theorem of last section.

**Proof of Theorem 1 :** Consider periodic orbits of period respectively 2 and 3 for  $T$  denoted by  $a_1, a_2 \in \Pi$  and  $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \Pi$ .

The proof proceeds by way of contradiction.

So, suppose that there exist a function  $v$ , a constant  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$  and an integer valued function  $G$  such that  $v \circ T - v + \alpha G = \psi$ , where  $\psi$  is the natural potential for the escape measure.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a_1) + \psi(a_2) &= \psi(a_1) + \psi(T(a_1)) = \\ (v(a_2) - v(a_1) + \alpha G(a_1)) &+ (v(a_1) - v(a_2) + \alpha G(a_2)) = m_1 \alpha \end{aligned}$$

for some  $m_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

Similarly, we have

$$\psi(b_1) + \psi(b_2) + \psi(b_3) = n_1 \alpha$$

for some  $n_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

Therefore,

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{m_1}(\psi(a_1) + \psi(a_2)) = \frac{1}{n_1}(\psi(b_1) + \psi(b_2) + \psi(b_3))$$

Now we need to use the analytic expression of  $\psi$ . Recall from [1] that  $\phi(x)$  denotes the angle with the normal of the trajectory beginning at  $x = (q, \phi)$  and  $K(x) = K(q)$  is the curvature at  $q$  of the curve  $\gamma$  (one of the components of the boundary of the billiard) such that  $q \in \gamma$ . From [5],  $\psi$  is given by

$$\psi(x) = \log |1 + t(x)k(x)|,$$

for  $x \in \Pi$ , where  $t(x) = \|q - q'\|$  is the distance between the successive hits  $x = (q, \phi)$  and  $T(x) = (q', \phi')$ , and  $k(x)$  is given by the continued fraction,  $k(x) = [c_1(x), c_2(x), c_3(x), \dots]$  or

$$k(x) = c_1(x) + \frac{1}{c_2(x) + \frac{1}{c_3(x) + \frac{1}{c_4(x) + \dots}}}$$

where

$$c_{2k+1}(x) = \frac{2K(x)}{\cos \phi(T^{-k}(x))}, \quad c_{2k}(x) = t(T^{-k}(x)), \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Expression (1) can be rewritten as

$$((1 + t(a_1)k(a_1))(1 + t(a_2)k(a_2)))^{n_1} =$$

$$(2) \quad ((1 + t(b_1)k(b_1))(1 + t(b_2)k(b_2))(1 + t(b_3)k(b_3)))^{m_1}.$$

We point out that the values  $a_1, a_2$  defining the orbit of period 2 and the values  $b_1, b_2, b_3$  defining the orbit of period 3 depend continuously on  $(O_1, O_2, O_3)$ .

Note that  $t(a_i), i \in \{1, 2\}, t(b_j), j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  are continuous functions of  $(O_1, O_2, O_3)$ . Finally, note also that  $\phi(a_i), \phi(b_j)$  and  $K(a_i), K(b_j)$  are continuous functions of  $(O_1, O_2, O_3)$ . Therefore, all these values  $t, K, \phi$  and also  $c_i, i \in \mathbf{N}$  are continuous functions of  $(O_1, O_2, O_3)$ .

We claim that  $k(a_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $k(b_j), j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  are also continuous functions of  $(O_1, O_2, O_3)$ .

In order to prove the claim, note that from the periodicity of  $a_1$  and  $a_2$

$$k(a_1) = c_1(a_1) + \frac{1}{c_2(a_1) + \frac{1}{c_3(a_1) + \frac{1}{c_4(a_1) + \frac{1}{k(a_1) + \dots}}}}$$

or  $k(a_1) = \overline{[c_1(a_1), c_2(a_1), c_3(a_1), c_4(a_1)]}$  is a periodic continued fraction.

Therefore,  $k(a_1)$  is a solution of a quadratic equation with coefficients in

$$c_1(a_1), c_2(a_1), c_3(a_1), c_4(a_1).$$

Similarly, the same property also holds for  $k(a_2)$ .

Finally, from the periodicity of  $b_1, b_2,$  and  $b_3$ , the value  $k(b_1)$  is also a solution of a quadratic equation with coefficients in

$$c_1(b_1), c_2(b_1), c_3(b_1), c_4(b_1), c_5(b_1), c_6(b_1)$$

since

$$k(b_1) = \overline{[c_1(b_1), c_2(b_1), c_3(b_1), c_4(b_1), c_5(b_1), c_6(b_1)]}.$$

Therefore, all the terms in (2) depend in a continuous fashion on  $(O_1, O_2, O_3)$ . Thus, for a fixed  $m_1, n_1$ , the set  $B_{m_1, n_1}$  consisting of all  $(O_1, O_2, O_3) \in F$  such that (2) holds is a closed set in  $F$ .

We now show that for fixed  $m_1, n_1$  this set  $B_{m_1, n_1}$  is nowhere dense in  $F$ .

In order to do that we will show that for  $(O_1, O_2, O_3) \in B_{m_1, n_1}$  one can perturb the three curves in  $F$  changing the value

$$((1 + t(a_1)k(a_1))(1 + t(a_2)k(a_2)))^{n_1}$$

“without changing the period three orbit” and also without changing

$$((1 + t(b_1)k(b_1))(1 + t(b_2)k(b_2))(1 + t(b_3)k(b_3)))^{m_1}.$$

Geometrical arguments easily show that one can perturb just the period two orbit (without changing the period three orbit at all) by changing a little bit the value  $t(a_1) = t(a_2)$  and changing a little bit the values  $K(a_1)$  and  $K(a_2)$  (see fig 1).

We will show that these changes will indeed change the value

$$((1 + t(a_1)k(a_1))(1 + t(a_2)k(a_2))).$$

Denote  $t = t(a_1) = t(a_2) = t(a_3) = \dots$ ,  $k_1 = k(a_1)$  and  $k_2 = k(a_2)$ .

Suppose that with the above described changes the value  $(1 + tk_1)(1 + tk_2)$  remains constant equal to  $d$ .

The first equation we consider is

$$(3) \quad (1 + tk_1)(1 + tk_2) = d.$$

Note that

$$c_1 = 2K(a_1) = c_1(a_1), c_5(a_1), c_9(a_1), \dots,$$

$$c_2 = 2K(a_2) = c_3(a_1), c_7(a_1), c_{11}(a_1), \dots$$

and, for all  $k \in \mathbf{N}$

$$c_{2k} = t.$$

Note also that  $c_3(a_1) = c_1(a_2)$ , etc...

Therefore,

$$k_1 = k(a_1) = c_1(a_1) + \frac{1}{c_2(a_1) + \frac{1}{c_1(a_2) + \frac{1}{c_2(a_2) + \dots}}} = c_1 + \frac{1}{t + \frac{1}{k_2}},$$

and we obtain from this last expression our second equation

$$(4) \quad tc_1k_2 + c_1 + k_2 - tk_1k_2 - k_1 = 0,$$

So,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  clearly depend continuously on  $c_1, c_2$  and  $t$ .

From (3),

$$(5) \quad t(k_1 + k_2) + t^2k_1k_2 = d - 1.$$

Multiplying (4) by  $t$  one obtains

$$t^2c_1k_2 + c_1t + k_2t = tk_1 + t^2k_1k_2,$$

and now adding  $tk_2$  to both members of last expression, one obtains from (5) that

$$t^2c_1k_2 + c_1t + 2k_2t = tk_1 + tk_2 + t^2k_1k_2 = d - 1.$$

The expression

$$(6) \quad t(tc_1k_2 + c_1 + 2k_2) = d - 1$$

shows that  $k_2$  depends only on  $t$  and  $c_1$ .

Note that in changing  $K(a_1)$  (respectively  $K(a_2)$ ) we also change  $c_1 = \frac{2K(a_1)}{\cos \phi(a_1)} = 2K(a_1)$  (respectively  $c_2$ ).

Now, from the periodicity of  $a_2$

$$k_2 = k(a_2) = c_1(a_2) + \frac{1}{c_2(a_2) + \frac{1}{c_3(a_2) + \frac{1}{c_4(a_2) + \frac{1}{k_2 + \dots}}}}$$

and finally

$$(7) \quad k_2 = c_2 + \frac{1}{t + \frac{1}{c_1 + \frac{1}{t + \frac{1}{k_2 + \dots}}}}$$

The last expression shows that  $k_2$  depends on  $c_2, c_1$  and  $t$  (in fact is a solution of a quadratic equation whose coefficients depend on  $c_1, c_2, t$ ).

Note from (7) that  $k_2$  really changes with the value  $c_2$ , that is, for  $t, c_1$  fixed,  $k_2$  depends on  $c_2$ . If (3) is true, then (6) says that  $k_2$  is constant for  $t, c_1$  fixed.

The conclusion is that the assumption (3) with  $d$  constant is false. Therefore we are able to perturb  $(O_1, O_2, O_3) \in B_{m_1, n_1}$  obtaining that (2) is not true anymore.

Thus, each set  $B_{m_1, n_1}$  is nowhere dense, and therefore by the Baire Category Theorem, for a dense  $G_\delta$  set of  $(O_1, O_2, O_3)$  in  $F$ , equation (1) is not true for any  $m_1, n_1$ .

Therefore, the potential  $\psi$  is non-lattice and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

### Bibliography:

- 1) A. Lopes and R. Markarian, Open billiards: invariant and conditionally invariant probabilities on Cantor sets, to appear in SIAM Jour. of Appl. Math.
- 2) T. Morita, The symbolic representation of billiards without boundary conditions, Trans A.M.S., Vol 325, (1991) pp 819-828
- 3) W. Parry and M. Pollicott, Zeta functions and the periodic structure of hyperbolic dynamics, Asterisque 187-188 (1990)
- 4) C. Robinson, Dynamical Systems, CRC Press, 1995
- 5) Y. Sinai, Dynamical Systems with elastic reflections, Russian Math. Surveys, 25:1 (1970) pp 137-189